E							
\$ 500 E			U.S. Pater	nt and Trademade	Office: U.S. D	th 07/31/200	PTO/SB/21 (02-04) 06. OMB 0651-003 IT OF COMMERC
2 Mile Uniter the Paperword	Reduction Act of 1995, n	O_0805005	are required to respond to a collecting Application Number	on of information	unless it displa	va a valid O	MB control numbe
3				10	1665	,031	<u> </u>
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Filing Date	Rebacca Grose Block			
			First Named Inventor				
			Art Unit	3844			
	•		Examiner Name	To To	Ngu	yen	
Total Number of Pages	in This Submission	6	Attorney Docket Number		U	7	
		ENCL	OSURES (Check all the	t apply)			
Fee Transmitta	l Form		rawing(s)		After Allowa to Group	ince comm	nunication
Fee Atta	ached	<u> </u>	censing-related Papers		Appeal Cor of Appeals	and Interfe	erences
Amendment/Re	opty	P	etition		Appeal Con (Appeal Noti		
' -			etition to Convert to a		Proprietary		
After Fi	nel		rovisional Application lower of Attorney, Revocation		riupiletaly	mormatio	"
Affidavi	ts/declaration(s)		hange of Correspondence Add	ress	Status Lette		1
Extension of Th	me Request	∐ т	erminal Disclaimer		Other Enclo Identify bek		lease
			lequest for Refund		•	•	
Express Aband	onment Request	一	•				
The manual biologopo of distinction		D, Number of CD(s)	l.				
Certified Copy Document(s)	of Priority	Remark	(S				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application							
Respon	se to Missing Parts						
under 3	7 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
	SIGNAT	URE O	F APPLICANT, ATTORN	IEY. OR AG	ENT		
Firm	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
or Individual name	OR es	CCO	a Grose BLE	yk			
Signature	Kellesa	-B_	21- Sloc	X			
Date	418104						
	CE	RTIFIC	ATE OF TRANSMISSION	MMAILING			
	correspondence is bei	ing facsin	nile transmitted to the USPTO o fressed to: Commissioner for Pa	r deposited with			
Typed or printed name	D.L.	0.5	G-112 D	Lock			
	12000	200	a Girose B	LOUR		(1)	-1
Signature	141/21/2		91 15V	b	Date	XYI	8104

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Response to a first Office Action

Application SNo. 10/665,031

This communication is a response to the first office action having a mailing date 0f 03/04/2004 and setting forth a shortened period for response of three months which would expire on 06/04/2004.

The applicant has studied the examiner's action carefully with the following results:

The applicant will follow the examiner's action by its designated paragraphs

Paragraph 1: An acknowledgment of a provisional application..

Paragraph 2: Information Disclosure Statement

The examiner objects to the listing of references in the specification. The applicant is fully aware of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.98(b) and the MPEP \$609 A(1). However the applicant is desirous of making a discussion of the importance and contact of the prior Patents and feels that this can only be done in the specification while discussing the art. Therefore, the applicant should be entitled to this discussion. The examiner should consider any prior art brought to his or her attention.

Paragraph 3: The examiner insists on changing the title of the invention. The word "KNOBBIT" is a Trademark and should not and must not appear in the title of the invention.

The phrase "60/460, filed on 04/07/2003", as required by the examiner, has been changed even though it was clear to begin with.

The examiner insists that the phrase "Statement Regarding Fed Sponsored R & D" should be deleted for the purpose of clarity. There is nothing unclear in this

Brief Summary of the invention

In view of all of the above, the structure of the inventive device will be described below and it will show that the circular knob on top of the disc will make it very easy for the dog to pick up the disc after it has been thrown. The knob is so designed that the lips of the dog will not interfere with the surface of the disc which will greatly enhance the pleasure experienced by the dog. The knob on top of the disc will also not interfere with the aerodynamics of the disc when in flight.

Brief Description of the Drawings

- Fig. 1 is a perspective and top view of the disc;
- Fig. 2 is a side view of the disc;
- Fig. 3 is a cross-section through the disc

Detailed Description of the Invention

Fig. 1 is a perspective top view of the inventive disc 1. The main top surface is shown at 5 and is flat. The margin of the disc is rounded off and slanted as is shown at 2. The circular knob 3 is integrally molded into the disc as one piece thereof and has a downwardly slanting neck as is shown at 4. The reason for this arrangement to make it easier for the dog to pick up the disc by the knob. The teeth of the dog will encircle the knob below its top and the lips of the dog will rest against the slanting neck below the circular knob. The disc itself may be made of a semi-hardened rubber material so that it is stable while in flight and doesn't flutter in its structure. However the material is most beneficial for the dog's teeth and mouth. Of course, other similar material may be used including the well known hard plastics.

phrase. Besides, the examiner is reminded of the PTO form SB/05 where there is an outline of the format of preparing a patent application especially in paragraph 3 under the heading of "Specification". The applicant simply followed these instructions.

Applicant's computer copy shows this heading to be correct but will be supplied.

Paragraph 4. A recitation of 35 U.S.C. 102

Paragraph 5. Claims 1 - 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as being anticipated by Ross, Jr. (US 4,212,131.

Claim 1 has been amended to add the recitation "inwardly" and line 3 after the word "and". This addition to claim 1 makes it clear that the neck 4 is slanting inwardly and not outwardly as taught by Ross. It is also noted that applicant's claim 1 has a recitation "that an outer and circular margin is rounded downwardly to complete the convexity of said disc" Ross shows exactly the opposite at 13 and it is called a rim wing. All other references cited by the examiner do not teach these features.

In view of all of the above, the examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the objections and rejections made in the office action and pass this application to an early indication of allowance.

Rebecca Grose Block

Date of Signature:

List of amended claims

- 1. (currently amended) A circular disc for retrieval by a dog when thrown, the disc comprising; said disc having a convex contour when seen from the side, a circular knob integrally connected to a top of said disc, a circular and <u>inwardly</u> slanting neck below said knob connects said knob to said disc, an outer and circular margin of said disc is rounded downwardly to complete the convexity of said disc.
- 2. (original) The circular disc of claim 1, wherein said disc has a flat area on a top of surface.
- 3. (original) The disc of claim 1, wherein said knob is integrally molded with said disc.

Throwable Pet Toy

Cross Reference to Related Application

This application is a Continuation-In-Part of the Provisional Application Number [60/460.388; filed on 04/07/2003] --60/460,388, filed on 04/07/2003--

Statement Regarding Fed Sponsored R and D

(none)

Background of the Invention

This invention relates to a throwable aerodynamic disc, in particular to be thrown by humans to dogs.

US Patent No. 4,919,083 illustrates such a toy, however the shown construction is quite different from the inventive disc as will be explained below. The disc has a top cross bar which can be grabbed by the dog's mouth and returned to the thrower. However, the cross bar will interfere with the aerodynamics of the disc while rotating in flight which could render it unstable.

US Patent No. 4,955,842 is a well known disc having a second disc attached to the flat top. It is doubtful if a dog can pick up this disc with its mouth because the flat and second disc is so closely spaced from the first one. The purpose of the second disc on top of the larger one is to retrieve the disc while in flight with a thin blade.

US Patent No. 5,934,966 discloses an aerodynamic disc to be thrown by humans to a dog for pick up and retrieval. A handle is provided on the underside of the disc so that when the disc lands on any surface, the handle will prevent the disc from completely settling on the ground with the rim edge remaining elevated at least on one side. This is totally different from applicant's device.